1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ANTONIO J. PATACA Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00297-JLT-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO VACATE STATUS CONFERENCE AND SET CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING; ORDER
13	v.	
14	RICARDO RIVAS ARREDONDO,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on 10/23/2024.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to vacate the status conference as to Ricardo	
22	Rivas Arredondo only, and set this matter for a change of plea on 10/28/2024 and to exclude time	
23	between 10/23/2024, and 10/28/2024, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes thousands of pages of investigative reports, video, audio recordings, cell phone	
27	extractions, and other voluminous materials. Supplemental discovery has been either produced	
28	directly to counsel or made available for inspection and copying.	

Case 1:22-cr-00297-JLT-BAM Document 154 Filed 10/07/24 Page 2 of 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, prepare for the change of plea hearing, and gather evidence in mitigation for the sentencing hearing.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of 10/23/2024 to 10/28/2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\(\} 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: October 4, 2024

Date: October 4, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

s/ Antonio J. Pataca ANTONIO J. PATACA **Assistant United States Attorney**

/s/ Mark King

MARK KING

Counsel for Defendant

RICARDO RIVAS ARREDONDO